

# An emigration Bill that does not go far enough

sanskritiias.com/current-affairs/an-emigration-bill-that-does-not-go-far-enough



(Mains GS 2 : Government policies and interventions for development in various sectors and issues arising out of their design and implementation.)

### Context:

- In early June 2021, the Ministry of External Affairs invited public inputs to the Emigration Bill 2021.
- The Bill could be introduced in Parliament soon and presents a long overdue opportunity to reform the recruitment process for nationals seeking employment abroad.

### **Exploitative conditions:**

- For years, independent investigations into migrant worker conditions have underlined serious exploitative practices.
- These practices includes large recruitment charges, contract substitution, deception, retention of passports, non-payment or underpayment of wages, poor living conditions, discrimination and other forms of ill-treatment.
- In recent months, media reports have highlighted how the majority of migrant worker deaths in the Arab Gulf States/West Asia are attributed to heart attacks and respiratory failures, whose causes are unexplained and poorly understood.

### **Emigration Act, 1983:**

- Labour migration is governed by the Emigration Act, 1983 which sets up a mechanism for hiring through government-certified recruiting agents individuals or public or private agencies.
- It outlines obligations for agents to conduct due diligence of prospective employers, sets up a cap on service fees, and establishes a government review of worker travel and employment documents (known as emigration clearances) to 18 countries mainly in West Asian states and South-East Asian countries.

# Improvements in the bill:

- The Emigration Bill 2021 is an improvement over the 1983 Act.
- It launches a new emigration policy division, establishes help desks and welfare committees, and requires manpower agencies to conduct predeparture briefings for migrants.
- Bill also increases accountability of brokers and other intermediaries who are also involved in labour hiring.

## Bill does not go far enough:

There are many drawbacks in the bill which need serious consideration.

# Lacks a human right framework:

- The 2021 Bill's purpose is to consolidate and amend the law relating to emigration of citizens of India.
- This lacks a human rights framework aimed at securing the rights of migrants and their families as progressive labour regimes do so.
- For example, in a country such as the Philippines, it explicitly recognises the contributions of Filipino workers and "the dignity and fundamental human rights and freedoms of the Filipino citizens".

# Bill permits manpower agencies to charge workers:

- Another significant drawback is that the Bill permits manpower agencies to charge workers' service fees, and even allows agents to set their own limits.
- International labour standards such as International Labour Organization (ILO) Private Employment Agencies Convention No. 181 and the ILO general principles and operational guidelines for fair recruitment recognises that it is employers, not workers who should bear recruitment payments including the costs of their visas, air travel, medical exams, and service charges to recruiters.
- Large-scale surveys by the ILO and the World Bank show that Indian workers pay exorbitant charges for their jobs and that poorer workers pay progressively larger fees.
- Indians in Saudi Arabia paid on average \$1,507 in recruitment charges and their counterparts in Qatar paid \$1,156.

## **High real cost of recruitment:**

- Recruitment charges might appear like a justified service fee, but the tens
  of thousands of rupees that workers pay far exceed the real cost of
  recruitment.
- When low wage migrants pick up the tab it makes them vulnerable to indebtedness and exploitation.
- Worker-paid recruitment fees eat into their savings, force them to take high-interest loans, live on shoe-string budgets, and in the worst cases of abuse, leave workers in situations of debt bondage — a form of forced labour.

### Punishment for violation:

- The Bill's most glaring inclusion is that it permits government authorities to punish workers by cancelling or suspending their passports and imposing fines up to ₹50,000 for violating any of the Bill's provisions.
- When enforced, it can be used as a tool to crackdown on workers who migrate through unregistered brokers or via irregular arrangements such as on tourist visas.
- Criminalising the choices migrant workers make either because they are unaware of the law, under the influence of their recruiters, or simply desperate to find a decent job is deplorable, runs contradictory to the purpose of protecting migrants and their families, and violates international human rights standards.
- Recruiters and public officials could misuse the law to instil fear among workers and report or threaten to report them.
- Migrants in an irregular situation who fear that they could be fined or have their passports revoked, are also less likely to make complaints or pursue remedies for abuses faced.

### Scant gender dimensions:

- This Bill does not adequately reflect the gender dimensions of labour migration where women have limited agency in recruitment compared to their counterparts.
- Women are more likely to be employed in marginalised and informal sectors and/or isolated occupations in which labour, physical, psychological, and sexual abuse are common.
- The Bill also provides limited space for worker representation or civil society engagement in the policy and welfare bodies that it sets up.

### Conclusion:

The Ministry of External Affairs must draft a clearer purpose which explicitly recognises the contributions of Indian workers, the unique challenges they face, and uphold the dignity and human rights of migrants and their families.